

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/849,559	WOMACK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul A. Zucker	1621	

All Participants:

 (1) Paul A. Zucker.

 (2) Allan A. Fanucci.
Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 July 2006
Time: 10:30 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

8,9

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Fanucci to indicate that some 112,2nd paragraph problems existed in claims 8 and 9. Mr. Fanucci agreed to authorize an Examiner's Amendment of the following form to address these issues:

The claims have been amended as follows:

Claim 8, line 3: The phrase -- R1 represents a formyl group, a -COCOOH group or a group of formula -(CO)_n-R-T, in -- has been inserted between the comma "," and the word "which".

Claim 9, line 2: The phrase [formula I] has been deleted and replaced with the phrase -- formula I-- ..